|  |
| --- |
| **Emotional, social, and cognitive health meeting minutes** |
| **Date: October 28th, 2016 Start Time: 10:30am CST End Time: 11:30am CST** |
| **Attendees:** Beattice Abetti, LCSW, Co-chair; Areej Al-Jawahiri, MD, Co-chair; Cynthia Bell, RN; Anna Barata, Psychologist; Jennifer Blosser, LCSW; Jill Randall, LICSW (lead staff), Gerardo Camarillo, Caregiver; Wendy Gorrell, Patient; Lizette Salazar, Caregiver; Kate Houg (admin staff)**Not in attendance:**, Betsy Lucas, Patient; Eneida Nemecek, MD; Heather Jim, PhD; Rob Minton, Patient; Tim Walsh, Patient;  |
| **Topic** | **Discussion Leader** | **Time** | **Discussion Summary** | **Action Items** |
| Welcome/Roll call | Jill | 5 min | Roll call with attendance noted above  |  |
| Define top three recommendations for comparative effectiveness research from each subgroup: 1. Adult social and emotional
2. Pediatric social and emotional
3. Cognitive
 | Subgroup leaders | 45 min | Goal: 9 general recommendations from the subgroups, and a list of 2-3 areas that are ready for CER **Adult Social and Emotional Sub Group*** Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) and stepped care/interventions (more intense interventions based on need)
* There should be a push for multi-center collaborations
* The use of technology for interventions to promote access
* Studying specific psychological interventions that have shown to be effective in cancer care while knowing we may need to modulate the dose intensity for BMT
* CER perspective: Testing different modalities of delivery of intervention and comparing different psychological interventions
* More research and services focused towards caregivers
* Multi-ethnic research
* Different technology usage among age groups

**Pediatric Social and Emotional Sub Group*** Educational interventions and Peer Support
* May not be enough research/studies to compare Peer Support
* The work in that has been done is primarily descriptive, there haven’t been many studies done to evaluate a specific intervention
* Make statement that the field is not ready for CER, but that these are our recommendations for moving the field forward
* Burden on caregivers—further studies in this area
* Differences between primary caregiver (stays with patient), and secondary caregiver (goes to work)
* Social media
* Include siblings

**Cognitive Sub Group*** This area isn’t ready for CER, and is in dire need of interventions
* Focus more on how these interventions impact patient outcomes, and their function in their daily lives
* Transition of care/model of care delivery with a cognitive component in longer term survivors
* More longitudinal studies with cognitive recovery
 |  |
| Next steps | Areej and Beatrice | 5 min | * Put the extended summary into slides, based on the work of each sub group
* Distribute to the group for review, and Areej and Beatrice will update based on comments/recommendations
* Beatrice and Areej will put together final slide deck, and executive summary to have to Kate by November 15th, 2016.
 |  |